

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/997,423	Applicant(s) GILLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Diana B. Johannsen	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Diana Johannsen.

(2) Jasbir Sagoo.

Status of Application: rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004

Time: N/A (telephonic)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Withdrawn claims 21-34.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Diana B. Johannsen
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

N/A
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicants' representative and requested a new complete set of claims including the text of the withdrawn claims. The examiner noted that the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed by the Office on December 4, 2003 had pointed out one problem with Applicants' claim set (related to status identifiers), but had failed to note the absence of the withdrawn claim text, which is also required by 37 CFR 1.121. Applicants' representative filed a complying claim set by FAX on March 11, 2004.